Document 25-2 Filed 11/20/2006

Page 1 of 6

Case 1:05-cv-00043

- 2. A roadway passes through Plaintiff's land. The total area covered by the roadway is 1,065 square meters.
- 3. The roadway was initially built by the United States Navy. The Navy built the road sometime in the 1950s. Defendants assert that this was a taking. Plaintiff asserts that the use was permissive. The area covered by the road that the Navy built was smaller than the area covered now. The area that was covered by the road that the Navy built was 487.5 square meters.
- 4. In 1994, the Commonwealth widened and paved the road. The area covered in 1994 increased by 577.5 square meters to the total area now covered. The total area now covered is 1,065 square meters.
- 5. The Plaintiff has not been compensated for the taking of his property for the construction of a road. However, on December 22, 2003, MPLA offered Plaintiff \$76,324.78 for the taking of his property. Plaintiff rejected this offer and initiated an appeal before MPLA. No final decision was reached on Plaintiff's appeal. On December 5, 2005, Plaintiff filed this action.
- c. List of issues to be tried:
  - 1. The value(s) of the area(s) taken as of the date(s) of taking; and
  - 2. The proper amount to compensate the Plaintiff for the taking that occurred.

	Case	1:05-0	cv-00043	Document 25-2	Filed 11/20/2006	Page 3 of 6					
1											
2	d. Disclosure of all witnesses:										
3	1. Plaintiff's witnesses shall include:										
4				ntonio S. Camacho							
5											
6				ılita S. Camacho							
7			c. R	oger Slater							
8			d. V	icente M. Sablan							
9			e. Li	ilio B. Tiples							
10			f. Ju	an Castro							
11			g. Je	sus Sablan							
12											
13		2.	Defendan	ts' witnesses shall in	clude:						
14					ordec.						
15			a. M	itchell K. Aaron							
16											
17	e.	e. Listing and exchange of copies of all exhibits:									
18		The parties shall exchange their exhibits and file a list of exhibits with the Court b									
19 20	Noven	November 22, 2006. The parties shall have until November 29, 2006, to file objections to the									
21	exhibit	exhibit lists submitted. The failure to state an objection to an exhibit by November 29, 2006									
22	shall a	shall act as a waiver of objections to its admissibility into evidence at trial.									
23					•						
24	f	Dratuis	al malines	vhara massible on -1:	lootions to suid						
25	1.	f. Pretrial rulings, where possible, on objections to evidence:									
26		Not ap	plicable at	this time.							
27											

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

21

20

24

There is no need to bifurcate the trial.

25 26

k. Limits on the length of the trial:

27

-	Case	1:05-cv-00043	Document 25-2	Filed 11/20/2006	Page 5 of 6						
1		The parties shall have one week to present this matter unless otherwise ordered.  Jury selection issues:									
2	1.										
3		No out of the ordi	inary jury selection i	ssues are presented by	this case.						
4											
5	m.	Any issue that in	the judge's opinion	may facilitate and exp	pedite the trial, for example						
7	the feas	asibility of presenting testimony by a summary written statements:									
8		Not applicable.									
9											
10	n.	The date when pr	roposed jury instruct	ions shall be submitte	d to the court and opposing						
11		The date when proposed jury instructions shall be submitted to the court and opposing									
12	counsel, which, unless otherwise ordered, shall be the first date of the trial:										
13	The parties shall exchange jury instructions by November 30, 2006.										
14											
15		IT IS SO ORDER	RED,								
16											
17		Date:									
18											
19											
20											
21				Judge Alex Mu	nson						
22	<u>.</u>										
23											
24											
25											
26											
27											
28				5							

Case 1:05-cv-00043 Document 25-2 Filed 11/20/2006 Page 6 of 6

K:\1000\1600-01 Camacho\PL\draft\Pretrial Order-061120-jom doc